


*“...to raise new ideas
and improve policy
debates through quality
information and analysis
on issues shaping New
Hampshire’s future.”*

One Eagle Square
Suite 510
Concord, NH 03301-
4903

(603) 226-2500
Fax: (603) 226-3676



Juvenile Justice in New Hampshire; Disproportionate Minority Contact Identification 2013

Board of Directors

Sheila T. Francoeur, Chair
David J. Alukonis
William H. Dunlap
Eric Herr
Dianne Mercier
Richard Ober
James Putnam
Stephen J. Reno
Stuart V. Smith, Jr.
Donna Sytek
Brian F. Walsh
Michael C. Whitney

Martin L. Gross,
Chair Emeritus

Todd I. Selig
Kimon S. Zachos
Emeritus

Executive Director

Stephen A. Norton
snorton@nhpolicy.org

Deputy Director

Daniel R. Barrick
dbarrick@nhpolicy.org

Economist

Dennis C. Delay
ddelay@nhpolicy.org

Office Manager

Cathleen K. Arredondo
carredondo@nhpolicy.org

June 2013

Authors

Dennis Delay
Economist

Steve Norton
Executive Director

About this paper

This paper is one of a series published by the New Hampshire Center for Public Policy Studies on the broad topic of the New Hampshire juvenile justice system. This paper was made possible by a grant from the New Hampshire Division of Juvenile Justice Services, NH State Advisory Group (SAG) on Juvenile Justice. This paper, as with all of the Center's published work, is in the public domain and may be reproduced without permission. Indeed, the Center welcomes individuals' and groups' efforts to expand the paper's circulation.

Copies are also available at no charge on the Center's web site: www.nhpolicy.org
Contact the Center at info@nhpolicy.org; or call 603-226-2500.
Write to: NHCPPS, 1 Eagle Square, Suite 510, Concord NH 03301

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Overview	2
Identifying Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC)	2
DMC Research Task	3
DMC data providers in New Hampshire	3
Statistical and data limits to the RRI calculation	5
Analysis and Results	5
Selected Municipalities	6
RRI Calculations for 2011	11
Detailed RRI Calculation for 2010	15
New Hampshire Compared to Other New England States	15
Recommendations	22
Attachment 1:	24
Table 1: DMC Data Providers	4
Table 2: Resident Population of Two Largest Cities/Towns by County - 2010	7
Table 3: Municipalities with 8,000 or More Residents in 2010	8
Table 4: Juveniles by Race, Ethnicity in 2011	12
Table 5: Juvenile Arrests by Race, Ethnicity in 2011	13
Table 6: Juvenile Arrest RRI in 2011	14
Table 7: RRI All Minorities Comparison for the New England States	16
Table 8: RRI Black or African-American Comparison for the New England States	18
Table 9: New Hampshire RRI by Race and Ethnicity	20
Table 10: Connecticut RRI by Race and Ethnicity	20
Table 11: Massachusetts RRI by Race and Ethnicity	21
Table 12: Maine RRI by Race and Ethnicity	21
Table 13: Rhode Island RRI by Race and Ethnicity	21
Table 14: Vermont RRI by Race and Ethnicity	22
Figure 1: New Hampshire Towns with 8,000 or More Residents in 2010	9
Figure 2: New Hampshire Towns by Proportion of Minority Population in 2010	10
Figure 3: New England RRIs - Juvenile Arrests, All Minorities	16
Figure 4: New England RRIs - Cases Diverted, All Minorities	17
Figure 5: New England RRIs - Juvenile Arrests, Black or African-American	18
Figure 6: New England RRIs - Secure Detention, Black or African-American	19
Figure 7: New England RRIs - Cases Petitioned, Black or African-American	19

Executive Summary

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) is a measure of racial disparity among juvenile offenders in the juvenile justice system. DMC is the overrepresentation of racial and ethnic minorities at all points in that system, from arrest to referrals, adjudication, diversion, detention, confinement, and finally movement into the adult court and corrections systems.

National data suggests that minorities are overrepresented in juvenile justice systems across the country, and that DMC increases as youth move through the system. For example, the U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division recently completed an investigation into the operations of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County, Tennessee, and found extensive racial disparities in the treatment of African American children: African American youth are twice as likely as white youth to be recommended for transfer to adult court.¹

Our analysis indicates that DMC does exist in the New Hampshire juvenile justice system. However, there are significant hurdles, both in terms of data reliability and statistical precision, in calculating trustworthy DMC measurements in New Hampshire, particularly outside of the state's larger municipalities.

The Division for Juvenile Justice Services (DJJS) currently calculates DMC measurements along the nine points of contact for the cities of Manchester, Nashua and Rochester annually. We recommend that DJJS extend the detailed DMC calculation to the municipalities of Concord and Salem, and that consideration be given to establishing regional DMC committees in those municipalities.

We also recommend that DMC data collection be improved in New Hampshire. Some of these efforts to gather better DMC data are already underway, including improvements in the design of the juvenile petition. The importance of more reliable DMC data cannot be overstressed, as identifying the reasons for DMC are critical as New Hampshire moves from identification of DMC, to assessment and finally to methods and approaches for reducing DMC in New Hampshire.

¹ "The Juvenile Justice Act Needs an Overhaul", Liz Ryan, The Crime Report, <http://www.thecrimereport.org/viewpoints/2013-05-time-to-reauthorize-the-juvenile-justice--delinquenc>

Overview

In 2012 the New Hampshire Division of Juvenile Justice Services, NH State Advisory Group (SAG) on Juvenile Justice contracted with the New Hampshire Center for Public Policy Studies to help identify and assess disproportionate minority contact (DMC) in New Hampshire's juvenile justice system. This report on the Identification Phase is the first of two reports on DMC. The second report will address the Assessment Phase.

Identifying Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC)

The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) defines Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) as a description of “the extent to which minority youth are overrepresented in that jurisdiction’s juvenile justice system.”² The DMC provision was added to the law because of the huge disparities in the treatment of youth of color in the juvenile justice system.³

Simply put, DMC is the unequal treatment of racial and ethnic minorities in all stages of the Juvenile Justice System. The OJJDP has identified nine points of contact where DMC should be measured. They include:

1. Arrest
2. Referral
3. Diversion
4. Detention
5. Petition/charges filed
6. Delinquency findings
7. Probation
8. Confinement in secure correctional facilities
9. Transfers to Adult Court

The quantitative definition of DMC is the ratio of the racial/ethnic population in the Juvenile Justice System compared to that of the majority (White) population for the nine points of juvenile contact within the system, from police contact to juvenile corrections and transfer to adult court. This ratio is called a Relative Rate Index (RRI).

The RRI method involves comparing the relative volume (rate) of activity for each major stage of the juvenile justice system for minority youth with the volume of that activity for white youth. The RRI provides a single index number that indicates the extent to which the volume of that form of contact or activity differs for minority youth and white youth. In its simplest form, the RRI is simply the rate of activity involving minority youth divided by the rate of activity involving majority youth.

The RRI calculations are defined by OJJDP as:

² Disproportionate Minority Contact Technical Assistance Manual, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, July 2009, page 1-1.

³ <http://www.thecrimereport.org/viewpoints/2013-05-time-to-reauthorize-the-juvenile-justice--delinquenc>

<u>Stage / Contact point</u>	<u>Preferred base for rates</u>
1. Arrest	Rate per 1,000 population
2. Referral to juvenile court	Rate per 100 arrests
3. Diversion (prior to adjudication)	Rate per 100 referrals
4. Detention	Rate per 100 referrals
5. Petition/charges filed	Rate per 100 referrals
6. Delinquency finding	Rate per 100 petitions/charges filed
7. Placement in probation	Rate per 100 delinquency findings
8. Placement in secure correctional facility	Rate per 100 delinquency findings
9. Transfer to adult court	Rate per 100 petitions filed

It is important to note that the identification stage does not include any attribution for the reason for the disparity. The identification stage, and the use of the Relative Rate Index, is designed to narrow the field of inquiry for the assessment stage, which describes the process of identifying the likely mechanisms that create differences in juvenile justice system contacts for minority youth.

Since 1998, the Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) Act has required all states that receive formula grant program funding to determine whether the proportion of minority youth in confinement exceeds their proportion of the population, and, if so, to develop corrective strategies.

DMC Research Task

The New Hampshire DMC Identification Phase project called for a quantitative analysis of existing county-level data to determine if there were any differences between minority and white contact with the juvenile justice system among the ten counties in the State of New Hampshire. Specifically the research task was to look at the 2 largest cities/towns/jurisdictions in each of the 10 counties of New Hampshire for the initial demographic measurements.

The initial focus was on the two largest communities in each of New Hampshire's ten counties and to obtain quantitative data to determine a Relative Rate Index (RRI) based on the nine points of contact in the juvenile justice system. The state also wanted to determine factors/mechanisms, which may have led to minority over representation and potential strategies to reduce it, as part of the Assessment Phase.

DMC data providers in New Hampshire

The Identification Phase began with identifying the data providers for relevant juvenile justice data in New Hampshire. The following table shows the 9 points of contact for identifying DMC in New Hampshire, along with the main data provider for the measurement data. This is followed by an explanation of the data provider and collection processes.

Table 1: DMC Data Providers

New Hampshire Data to Measure Disproportionate Minority Contact	
	Source:
Base - Population at Risk (age 10 through 17)	CENSUS ACS
1. Juvenile Arrests	UCR - Safety
2. Refer to Juvenile Court - Petitions	COURTS
3. Cases Diverted	Diversion Network
4. Cases Involving Secure Detention	DCYF Detained
5. Cases Petitioned - Charge Filed	DCYF
6. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	DCYF
7. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	DCYF
8. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	DCYF Committed
9. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	COURTS/DCYF

- Estimates for the youth population in each city, town, and county in New Hampshire come from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Community Survey (**CENSUS ACS**). Numbers of youth (ages 10 to 17 years old) by age, gender, race and ethnicity for the year 2010 are based on actual population counts from the 2010 Census of Population and Housing. Estimates for other years come from the American Community Survey, an ongoing Census Bureau survey that samples a small percentage of the population every year.
- Juvenile arrests in New Hampshire are available from the Uniform Crime Reporting division with the New Hampshire Department of Safety (**UCR-Safety**). Juvenile arrest data is compiled by the state from reports submitted by local law enforcement agencies, using the National Incident Based Reporting System (NIBRS) format. This data is also submitted to the FBI's Crime Reporting (UCR) Program, which collects offenses that come to the attention of law enforcement for violent crime and property crime.
- Juvenile cases involving detention or confinement, juvenile charges, delinquency findings and probation placement are tracked by the New Hampshire Department of Human Services, Division of Children Youth & Families (**DCYF**), Bureau of Organizational Learning and Quality Improvement. These data come from the BRIDGES internal case management and tracking system managed by DCYF, and in operation since 1997.
- Juvenile cases diverted from juvenile court are available in limited detail from the New Hampshire Juvenile Court **Diversion Network** members, for informal diversion from the juvenile court. The Diversion Network organizations maintain court-approved diversion programs in New Hampshire. The administrative judge of the judicial branch family division has the authority to approve diversion referral procedures for use in all juvenile matters throughout the state. Formal diversions from the juvenile court are captured in the DCYF BRIDGES data base and is reported in the DMC RRI calculation.
- Juvenile arrests referred to juvenile court through a juvenile petition, as well as juvenile cases transferred to an adult court would be tracked by the Administrative Office of the Courts (**COURTS**) in New Hampshire. As explained later in this report this data set was of limited value due to an anomaly in the design of the juvenile petition.

Statistical and data limits to the RRI calculation

OJJDP has identified three situations in which the RRI index values cannot be calculated reliably, or where the calculated values could be considered statistically imprecise. In these instances there are insufficient numbers of juvenile youth or juvenile cases to produce reliable results for the RRI calculation.

1. If a minority group is less than 1% of the youth population in that geographic area, the Relative Rate Index (RRI) calculation would be deemed statistically imprecise, due to the relative small population size in that geographic area.
2. Since the RRI is based on the computation and comparison of rates, under some circumstances these rates may be computed based on small numbers, which makes the rates relatively unreliable. In general, rates based on five or fewer events from a possible base of 50 or fewer potential events are viewed with caution and are likewise statistically imprecise.
3. The RRI calculation is also evaluated by a test of statistical significance for use in guiding analysis. The statistical test used is based on the chi square distribution. For a given decision (for example, a finding of guilt / delinquency) the chi square test calculates the expected number of cases involving white youth and minority youth that would be expected to have the targeted decision (guilt), if there were no differences in the rates of that decision. The chi square test then calculates how discrepant the results actually are from those expectations, and compares the size of the discrepancy to what could be expected to occur by chance at a given significance level. The 'standard' significance level is $p=.05$, meaning that a discrepancy of this magnitude (or larger) might occur by chance in 1 of 20 comparisons ($.05 = 1/20$).

The above instances of the problems surrounding small datasets are especially important in New Hampshire. New Hampshire is a relatively small state, with a population of 1.3 million, and the minority population in New Hampshire is relatively small⁴. For example, New Hampshire's largest city, Manchester, has one of the state's largest minority populations (11.6%), but has only 110,000 residents. Therefore the above impediments to calculating a trustworthy RRI may also occur more frequently in the Granite State, compared to states with more people or larger minority populations.

Analysis and Results

As outlined previously the DMC analysis begins with the Identification Phase to determine whether disparity exists, and if so, to what extent. In order to provide a standard measure of DMC at each decision point, OJJDP developed the Relative Rate Index (RRI). RRI is a method of comparing the rates of system contact among different groups of youths.

The **Identification Phase** of the DMC process provides jurisdictions with a description or an account of the extent of minority over representation for the nine points of contact in quantitative terms. The SAG suggested that the Center focus on the two largest cities/towns in each of New

⁴ According the 2010 Census the White non-Hispanic population accounts for about 95 percent of the population in the state. However, racial and ethnic diversity is somewhat greater among the state's youth populations, with 12.2% of New Hampshire's under-18 population belonging to a racial minority in 2010.

Hampshire's ten counties. However, the Center noted that such a compilation would miss some of the more important population centers in New Hampshire. For example the City of Portsmouth is an important population center in Rockingham County, but is not one of the two largest municipalities in Rockingham County. Likewise, such a list would not include the Hillsborough County towns of Merrimack, Hudson, Bedford and Goffstown. Therefore the Center suggested that our analysis include municipalities of at least 8,000 residents, as of the 2010 Census of Population.

Selected Municipalities

The following table shows the population of the two largest municipalities in each of New Hampshire's ten counties over the period 1960 to 2010. The data in the table comes from the decennial census of population and housing, as reported by the U.S. Census Bureau. A census is taken every 10 years, as required by the U.S. Constitution, and so these counts are shown for each of the ten years ending in "0".

Table 2: Resident Population of Two Largest Cities/Towns by County - 2010

Two Largest Cities or Towns in Each County in New Hampshire							
U.S. CENSUS 1960 - 2010							
County	City/Town	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010
Belknap		28,912	32,367	42,884	49,216	56,325	60,088
	Laconia	15,288	14,888	15,575	15,743	16,411	15,951
	Belmont	1,953	2,493	4,026	5,796	6,716	7,356
Carroll		15,821	18,548	27,929	35,410	43,608	47,698
	Conway	4,298	4,865	7,158	7,940	8,604	10,115
	Wolfeboro	2,689	3,036	3,968	4,807	6,083	6,269
Cheshire		43,342	52,364	62,116	70,121	73,825	77,117
	Keene	17,562	20,467	21,449	22,430	22,563	23,409
	Swanzey	3,626	4,254	5,183	6,236	6,800	7,230
Coos		37,014	34,189	35,014	34,693	32,936	32,961
	Berlin	17,821	15,256	13,084	11,824	10,331	10,051
	Gorham	3,039	2,998	3,322	3,173	2,895	2,848
Grafton		48,857	54,914	65,806	74,929	81,740	89,118
	Lebanon	9,299	9,725	11,134	12,183	12,568	13,151
	Hanover	7,329	8,494	9,119	9,212	10,850	11,260
Hillsborough		178,161	223,941	276,608	336,073	380,841	400,721
	Manchester	88,282	87,754	90,936	99,567	107,006	109,565
	Nashua	39,096	55,820	67,865	79,662	86,605	86,494
Merrimack		67,785	80,925	98,302	120,005	136,225	146,445
	Concord	28,991	30,022	30,400	36,006	40,687	42,695
	Hooksett	3,713	5,564	7,303	8,767	11,721	13,451
Rockingham		98,642	138,951	190,345	245,845	277,359	295,223
	Derry	6,987	11,712	18,875	29,603	34,021	33,109
	Salem	9,210	20,142	24,124	25,746	28,112	28,776
Strafford		59,799	70,431	85,408	104,233	112,233	123,143
	Dover	19,131	20,850	22,377	25,042	26,884	29,987
	Rochester	15,927	17,938	21,560	26,630	28,461	29,752
Sullivan		28,067	30,949	36,063	38,592	40,458	43,742
	Claremont	13,563	14,221	14,557	13,902	13,151	13,355
	Newport	5,458	5,899	6,229	6,110	6,269	6,507


As noted previously limiting the analysis to the two largest municipalities misses a number of New Hampshire places of significant size. This is illustrated in the following table, which shows the number of residents for larger municipalities (8,000 or more) in New Hampshire in the years

2000 and 2010. In the last column of the table shows the percentage of minority residents for that municipality, and the data in the table is ranked according to that percentage.


Table 3: Municipalities with 8,000 or More Residents in 2010

NH Municipalities of 8,000 or more, and Percent Non-White (2010 Census)							
County	Town	2000	2010	Numeric chg 00- 10	Percent chg 00- 10	Percent Non White	
1	Grafton	Hanover	10,850	11,260	410	3.8%	16.3
2	Hillsborough	Nashua	86,605	86,494	-111	-0.1%	14.5
3	Hillsborough	Manchester	107,006	109,565	2,559	2.4%	11.6
4	Grafton	Lebanon	12,568	13,151	583	4.6%	9.7
5	Strafford	Somersworth	11,477	11,766	289	2.5%	8.1
6	Strafford	Dover	26,884	29,987	3,103	11.5%	7.3
7	Merrimack	Concord	40,687	42,695	2,008	4.9%	6.5
8	Rockingham	Portsmouth	20,784	21,233	449	2.2%	6.3
9	Rockingham	Salem	28,112	28,776	664	2.4%	6.3
10	Rockingham	Newmarket	8,027	8,936	909	11.3%	6.1
11	Hillsborough	Hudson	22,928	24,467	1,539	6.7%	5.5
12	Strafford	Durham	12,664	14,638	1,974	15.6%	4.7
13	Hillsborough	Bedford	18,274	21,203	2,929	16.0%	4.1
14	Belknap	Laconia	16,411	15,951	-460	-2.8%	4.1
15	Merrimack	Hooksett	11,721	13,451	1,730	14.8%	4.0
16	Rockingham	Windham	10,709	13,592	2,883	26.9%	3.8
17	Rockingham	Derry	34,021	33,109	-912	-2.7%	3.8
18	Hillsborough	Milford	13,535	15,115	1,580	11.7%	3.5
19	Hillsborough	Merrimack	25,119	25,494	375	1.5%	3.4
20	Cheshire	Keene	22,563	23,409	846	3.7%	3.3
21	Rockingham	Londonderry	23,236	24,129	893	3.8%	3.0
22	Hillsborough	Pelham	10,914	12,897	1,983	18.2%	3.0
23	Rockingham	Exeter	14,058	14,306	248	1.8%	2.9
24	Strafford	Rochester	28,461	29,752	1,291	4.5%	2.9
25	Hillsborough	Amherst	10,769	11,201	432	4.0%	2.8
26	Rockingham	Hampton	14,937	14,976	39	0.3%	2.6
27	Hillsborough	Litchfield	7,360	8,271	911	12.4%	2.4
28	Sullivan	Claremont	13,151	13,355	204	1.6%	2.4
29	Rockingham	Seabrook	7,934	8,693	759	9.6%	2.3
30	Hillsborough	Goffstown	16,929	17,651	722	4.3%	2.2
31	Merrimack	Franklin	8,405	8,477	72	0.9%	2.1
32	Carroll	Conway	8,604	10,115	1,511	17.6%	2.0
33	Strafford	Barrington	7,475	8,576	1,101	14.7%	1.9
34	Coos	Berlin	10,331	10,051	-280	-2.7%	1.7
35	Rockingham	Raymond	9,674	10,138	464	4.8%	1.6
36	Rockingham	Hampstead	8,297	8,523	226	2.7%	1.4
37	Hillsborough	Weare	7,776	8,785	1,009	13.0%	1.2

The following map shows the location and the number of municipalities in New Hampshire with 8,000 or more residents. Note that New Hampshire's larger municipalities are concentrated in the southern and eastern areas of New Hampshire.

Figure 1: New Hampshire Towns with 8,000 or More Residents in 2010

The following map examines the concentration of the minority population in New Hampshire, as of the 2010 Census of Population. Only 15 out of New Hampshire's 235 cities and towns have a minority population (defined as the number of residents that are not White, Non-Hispanic) greater than 4 percent of the total residents in that municipality. These municipalities are most likely to be in south-central or southeastern New Hampshire.

Figure 2: New Hampshire Towns by Proportion of Minority Population in 2010

All together 33 municipalities were chosen for the Identification Phase analysis. In addition to the two largest cities or towns in each county (a total of 20 for the ten counties in New Hampshire), 13 additional towns were selected for analysis based on whether those towns were of appropriate size (8,000 or more residents), and whether the minority population was sufficiently large enough to warrant analysis. The selected municipalities were:

1. Belmont town, Belknap County, New Hampshire
2. Laconia city, Belknap County, New Hampshire
3. Conway town, Carroll County, New Hampshire
4. Wolfeboro town, Carroll County, New Hampshire

5. Keene city, Cheshire County, New Hampshire
6. Swanzey town, Cheshire County, New Hampshire
7. Berlin city, Coos County, New Hampshire
8. Gorham town, Coos County, New Hampshire
9. Hanover town, Grafton County, New Hampshire
10. Lebanon city, Grafton County, New Hampshire
11. Manchester city, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire
12. Nashua city, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire
13. Concord city, Merrimack County, New Hampshire
14. Hooksett town, Merrimack County, New Hampshire
15. Derry town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire
16. Salem town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire
17. Dover city, Strafford County, New Hampshire
18. Rochester city, Strafford County, New Hampshire
19. Claremont city, Sullivan County, New Hampshire
20. Newport town, Sullivan County, New Hampshire

In addition we selected the following towns for the RRI Identification analysis based on the size of the town and the percentage of the minority population in the town:

21. Plymouth town, Grafton County, New Hampshire
22. Newmarket town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire
23. Somersworth city, Strafford County, New Hampshire
24. Portsmouth city, Rockingham County, New Hampshire
25. Exeter town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire
26. Merrimack town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire
27. Milford town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire
28. Hudson town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire
29. Bedford town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire
30. Pelham town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire
31. Durham town, Strafford County, New Hampshire
32. Windham town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire
33. Londonderry town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire

RRI Calculations for 2011

The following tables show the data available to calculate a Relative Rate Index (RRI) by municipality, according to the methodology outlined in the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Disproportionate Minority Contact Technical Assistance Manual. The first table in the series shows the juvenile population (males and females age 10 to 17 years old) in each selected New Hampshire municipality in the year 2011.

Table 4: Juveniles by Race, Ethnicity in 2011

	Juveniles in 2011 (Age 10 to 17)				
	Total	White alone, not Hispanic or Latino	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian
1 Belmont town, Belknap County, New Hampshire	1,172	990	93	34	47
2 Laconia city, Belknap County, New Hampshire	1,279	1,125	0	120	8
3 Conway town, Carroll County, New Hampshire	847	829	0	18	0
4 Wolfeboro town, Carroll County, New Hampshire	761	654	0	65	22
5 Keene city, Cheshire County, New Hampshire	1,724	1,457	0	201	46
6 Swanzey town, Cheshire County, New Hampshire	700	664	0	0	11
7 Berlin city, Coos County, New Hampshire	835	718	0	76	32
8 Gorham town, Coos County, New Hampshire	343	343	0	0	0
9 Hanover town, Grafton County, New Hampshire	1,017	982	0	0	35
10 Lebanon city, Grafton County, New Hampshire	1,116	898	35	115	52
11 Manchester city, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	10,888	7,958	966	1,305	370
12 Nashua city, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	8,260	5,944	219	1,319	494
13 Concord city, Merrimack County, New Hampshire	4,145	3,759	77	189	78
14 Hooksett town, Merrimack County, New Hampshire	1,268	1,130	29	109	17
15 Derry town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	3,763	3,528	0	64	70
16 Salem town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	3,559	2,892	85	251	125
17 Dover city, Strafford County, New Hampshire	2,827	2,561	0	30	96
18 Rochester city, Strafford County, New Hampshire	3,150	2,815	79	122	18
19 Claremont city, Sullivan County, New Hampshire	1,321	1,253	53	0	15
20 Newport town, Sullivan County, New Hampshire	628	628	0	0	0
21 Plymouth town, Grafton County, New Hampshire	547	508	0	22	0
22 Newmarket town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	720	607	0	39	15
23 Somersworth city, Strafford County, New Hampshire	924	836	3	33	16
24 Portsmouth city, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1,300	1,091	7	7	120
25 Exeter town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1,692	1,545	46	7	29
26 Merrimack town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	2,901	2,678	0	118	51
27 Milford town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	1,701	1,646	0	0	9
28 Hudson town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	2,946	2,632	40	147	90
29 Bedford town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	2,887	2,493	117	78	132
30 Pelham town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	1,800	1,678	12	66	19
31 Durham town, Strafford County, New Hampshire	741	682	3	21	35
32 Windham town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1,908	1,681	0	85	73
33 Londonderry town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	3,769	3,568	0	138	30

Arrests for the same racial and ethnic groups were available from the Uniform Crime Reports division of the New Hampshire Department of Safety for the year 2011, and shown on the following table.

Table 5: Juvenile Arrests by Race, Ethnicity in 2011⁵

	Juvenile Arrests in 2011			
	White alone, not Hispanic or Latino	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian
1 Belmont town, Belknap County, New Hampshire	45	1	2	0
2 Laconia city, Belknap County, New Hampshire	92	6	4	5
3 Conway town, Carroll County, New Hampshire	99	2	0	1
4 Wolfeboro town, Carroll County, New Hampshire	89	3	2	2
5 Keene city, Cheshire County, New Hampshire	97	0	0	0
6 Swanzey town, Cheshire County, New Hampshire				
7 Berlin city, Coos County, New Hampshire	53	7	5	0
8 Gorham town, Coos County, New Hampshire	21	1	0	0
9 Hanover town, Grafton County, New Hampshire	33	0	0	0
10 Lebanon city, Grafton County, New Hampshire	88	3	2	4
11 Manchester city, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	470	104	96	1
12 Nashua city, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	385	48	97	7
13 Concord city, Merrimack County, New Hampshire	370	21	9	0
14 Hooksett town, Merrimack County, New Hampshire	11	1	0	0
15 Derry town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	289	4	4	3
16 Salem town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	219	9	37	1
17 Dover city, Strafford County, New Hampshire	149	8	1	0
18 Rochester city, Strafford County, New Hampshire	243	13	3	1
19 Claremont city, Sullivan County, New Hampshire	19	1	0	0
20 Newport town, Sullivan County, New Hampshire	48	2	1	
21 Plymouth town, Grafton County, New Hampshire	30	1	0	0
22 Newmarket town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	16	0	0	1
23 Somersworth city, Strafford County, New Hampshire	128	7	6	1
24 Portsmouth city, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	78	7	1	1
25 Exeter town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	73	2	1	0
26 Merrimack town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	174	2	0	2
27 Milford town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	32	1	0	0
28 Hudson town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	85	4	6	1
29 Bedford town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	71	8	3	0
30 Pelham town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	38	1	0	0
31 Durham town, Strafford County, New Hampshire	24	2	0	1
32 Windham town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	30	1	0	0
33 Londonderry town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	87	3	0	0

Using the data in the preceding tables, the RRI was calculated for each municipality. In this case the arrest rate (number of arrests per 1,000 persons) for each racial or ethnic group is calculated as a ratio relative to the arrest rate for white, non-Hispanic juveniles in that municipality. The results of the analysis are shown on the following table.

⁵ The town of Swanzey did not report crime statistics in the year 2011.

Table 6: Juvenile Arrest RRI in 2011⁶

	Arrest Relative Rate Index for 2011			
	White alone, not Hispanic or Latino	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian
1 Belmont town, Belknap County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2 Laconia city, Belknap County, New Hampshire	1.00	NA	0.00	0.00
3 Conway town, Carroll County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4 Wolfeboro town, Carroll County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5 Keene city, Cheshire County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6 Swanzey town, Cheshire County, New Hampshire		0.00	0.00	0.00
7 Berlin city, Coos County, New Hampshire	1.00	NA	0.00	0.00
8 Gorham town, Coos County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9 Hanover town, Grafton County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10 Lebanon city, Grafton County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11 Manchester city, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	1.00	1.82	1.25	0.00
12 Nashua city, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	1.00	3.38	1.14	0.22
13 Concord city, Merrimack County, New Hampshire	1.00	2.77	0.48	0.00
14 Hooksett town, Merrimack County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15 Derry town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16 Salem town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1.00	1.40	1.95	0.00
17 Dover city, Strafford County, New Hampshire	1.00	NA	0.00	0.00
18 Rochester city, Strafford County, New Hampshire	1.00	1.91	0.00	0.00
19 Claremont city, Sullivan County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20 Newport town, Sullivan County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21 Plymouth town, Grafton County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22 Newmarket town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23 Somersworth city, Strafford County, New Hampshire	1.00	NA	1.19	0.00
24 Portsmouth city, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1.00	NA	0.00	0.00
25 Exeter town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
26 Merrimack town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
27 Milford town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
28 Hudson town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	1.26	0.00
29 Bedford town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	1.00	2.40	0.00	0.00
30 Pelham town, Hillsborough County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31 Durham town, Strafford County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
32 Windham town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
33 Londonderry town, Rockingham County, New Hampshire	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Note that only a few municipalities in New Hampshire have sufficient data to calculate a statistically precise RRI. The great majority of municipalities in 2011 had 5 or fewer minority juvenile arrests in 2011. A statistically precise RRI could not be calculated in several other municipalities because the subject group represented less than 1 percent of the juvenile population.

Since the entry point to the juvenile justice system is an arrest, we have assumed that the other 8 points of contact for each New Hampshire Municipality would show a similar characteristic (that

⁶ RRI equals 0 if five or fewer events (arrests); RRI equals NA is group is less than 1% of youth population

is to say, that the RRI calculation would likely be statistically imprecise due to an insufficient number of cases, or the minority population being 1 percent or less of the total).

Detailed RRI Calculation for 2010

An additional analysis was performed to confirm the results of the 2011 analysis, as well as an attempt to provide more insight into juvenile arrests in the subject municipalities. In the 2010 analysis we were able to subdivide juvenile arrests by race and ethnicity into two separate groups – arrests handled within the department and arrests referred to another agency⁷. The results of this analysis are shown in Attachment 1. Summary observations include:

- As was shown in the previous analysis for the year 2010, statistically precise RRI calculations for most of New Hampshire's smaller cities and towns are not possible. In the majority of municipalities in New Hampshire there were 5 or fewer minority juvenile arrests in 2010, or the subject group represented less than 1% of the juvenile population in that municipality.
- At the state level, the RRIs for Black or African American juveniles tend to be larger than for any other minority group.
- At the state level, RRIs for Hispanic or Latino juveniles tend to be less than for Black or African American juveniles.
- At the state level, RRIs for Asian juveniles are most likely to be less than one.
- At the state level, all juvenile groups are two to three times more likely to be referred to another agency than handled within the department.
- At the state level, minority juveniles are slightly more likely to be referred to another agency than handled within the department (compared to the white juvenile population). However this is not true for every municipality in New Hampshire.

New Hampshire Compared to Other New England States

An additional analysis looked at whether New Hampshire's RRIs were consistent with, or significantly different from, RRIs calculated in other New England states. The following tables and graphs compare New Hampshire RRIs to other New England states for the latest year available as of May 2013.

It is clear that even for the largest states in New England (Massachusetts and Connecticut) data is not available for all of the nine points of DMC contact, or the data that is available is statistically imprecise. Some New England states are missing arrest data, while others are missing data that would have come from the juvenile court system in that state.

The following table compares New Hampshire with the other five New England states across the nine points of DMC contact for all minorities in each state. Values in a bold font are considered

⁷ Cases handled with the department (HWD) are likely to result in juveniles being released to a parent/guardian, or juveniles being released with a warning. Cases referred to other authorities (ROA) include juveniles referred to a more formal disposition, including juvenile court or other agency, and are thus more likely to continue to other points of contact in the juvenile justice system.

statistically significant. Values in a regular font are considered statistically imprecise, and entries with two asterisks (**) represent a contact point with an insufficient number of cases for analysis. The graphs following the table compare New Hampshire’s statistically significant values with those of other states for that point of DMC contact.

Table 7: RRI All Minorities Comparison for the New England States


All Minorities	Relative Rate Index Compared with : <u>White</u>					
	New Hampshire	Connecticut	Maine	Massachusetts	Rhode Island	Vermont
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.6	**	0.9	0.8	4.0	1.4
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	2.7	1.5	**	1.1	2.2
4. Cases Diverted	0.4	0.7	1.9	**	0.2	0.6
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.1	2.0	0.7	5.0	1.5	0.6
6. Cases Petitioned	1.0	1.4	1.2	3.3	1.0	0.9
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.0	1.0
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.7	**	0.4
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.5	3.2	1.5	1.2	2.4	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	2.3	**	**	**	0.2

Key:

Statistically significant results:	Bold font
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---


In New Hampshire the only points of DMC contact for all minorities where statistically significant data exists were for juvenile arrests and for cases diverted. Massachusetts, New England’s most populous state, had more statistically valid measurements along the nine points of DMC contact, but still had an insufficient number of cases to analyze referrals to juvenile court, cases diverted and cases transferred to adult court.

Figure 3: New England RRIs - Juvenile Arrests, All Minorities



Missing Values Not Shown on Graph

The RRI for juvenile arrests for all minorities is highest in Rhode Island, and lowest in Massachusetts. Vermont’s rate is very close to New Hampshire’s rate.



The New Hampshire RRI for cases diverted for all minorities is one of the lowest among the New England states (only the Rhode Island RRI is lower). Maine has the highest RRI among the New England states for cases diverted for all minorities.

The following table compares New Hampshire with the other five New England states across the nine points of DMC contact for Black or African-American groups in each state. Values in a bold font are considered statistically significant. Values in a regular font are considered statistically imprecise, and entries with two asterisks (**) represent a contact point with an insufficient number of cases for analysis. The graphs following the table compare New Hampshire’s statistically significant values with those of other states for that point of DMC contact.

Table 8: RRI Black or African-American Comparison for the New England States


Black or African-American						
Relative Rate Index Compared with :	White					
	New Hampshire	Connecticut	Maine	Massachusetts	Rhode Island	Vermont
2. Juvenile Arrests	3.3	**	2.1	2.6	9.6	2.4
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	4.6	1.0	**	0.9	1.4
4. Cases Diverted	0.7	0.7	2.2	**	0.3	0.5
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.7	2.0	0.6	2.0	1.5	1.0
6. Cases Petitioned	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.0	1.0
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.7	1.0	0.9
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.5	**	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.6	3.3	1.6	1.0	2.4	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	2.6	**	**	**	**

Key:
Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis
 Missing data for some element of calculation

Bold font
 Regular font
 *
 **

In New Hampshire, the only points of DMC contact for Black or African-American juveniles where statistically significant data exists were for juvenile arrests, for cases involving secure detention, and for cases petitioned. Connecticut had the most statistically valid measurements along the nine points of DMC contact of any New England state, but still had statistically imprecise data to analyze juvenile arrests, and cases resulting in a delinquency finding.


Figure 5: New England RRIs - Juvenile Arrests, Black or African-American



Missing Values Not Shown on Graph

The RRI for juvenile arrests for Black or African-American juveniles is highest in Rhode Island, and lowest in Maine. The Massachusetts and Vermont rates are very close to New Hampshire’s rate.


Figure 6: New England RRIs - Secure Detention, Black or African-American



Missing Values Not Shown on Graph

The RRI for juvenile cases involving secure detention for Black or African-American juveniles is highest in Connecticut and Massachusetts. The Maine and Rhode Island rates are lower than New Hampshire’s rate.

Figure 7: New England RRIs – Cases Petitioned, Black or African-American



Missing Values Not Shown on Graph

The RRI for juvenile cases petitioned for Black or African-American juveniles is lower in New Hampshire than any other New England state.

The following tables show the RRI values for all of the six New England states for the latest year available. Values in a bold font are considered statistically significant. Values in a regular font are considered statistically imprecise, and entries with two asterisks (**) represent a contact point with an insufficient number of cases for analysis.

Table 9: New Hampshire RRI by Race and Ethnicity

State : New Hampshire	County : Statewide								Reporting Period	1/1/2011	through	12/31/2011
Relative Rate Index Compared with : White												
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities				
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.0	3.3	2.4	**	*	*	0.7	1.6				
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	**	*	*	**	**				
4. Cases Diverted	1.0	0.7	**	**	*	*	**	0.4				
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.0	1.7	0.9	**	*	*	**	1.1				
6. Cases Petitioned	1.0	1.3	0.7	**	*	*	0.3	1.0				
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.0	1.0	1.0	**	*	*	**	1.0				
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.0	1.1	0.8	**	*	*	**	0.9				
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.0	1.6	1.4	**	*	*	**	1.5				
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	1.0	**	**	**	*	*	**	**				
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes					
Key:												
Statistically significant results: Bold font												
Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font												
Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *												
Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **												
Missing data for some element of calculation: ---												

Table 10: Connecticut RRI by Race and Ethnicity

State : Connecticut	County : Statewide								Reporting Period	1/1/2011	through	12/31/2011
Relative Rate Index Compared with : White												
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities				
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**	**	**	*	*	*	**				
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.0	4.6	1.9	0.2	*	*	*	2.7				
4. Cases Diverted	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.9	*	*	*	0.7				
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.0	2.0	2.1	1.1	*	*	*	2.0				
6. Cases Petitioned	1.0	1.4	1.4	1.1	*	*	*	1.4				
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	*	*	*	1.0				
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.0	0.9	0.9	**	*	*	*	0.9				
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.0	3.3	2.9	**	*	*	*	3.2				
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	1.0	2.6	1.7	**	*	*	*	2.3				
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No					

Table 11: Massachusetts RRI by Race and Ethnicity

State : Massachusetts **County : Statewide** Reporting Period 1/1/2010 through 12/31/2010

Relative Rate Index Compared with :	White							
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.0	2.6	**	0.2	*	*	*	0.8
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.0	2.0	**	2.6	*	*	*	5.0
6. Cases Petitioned	1.0	1.4	**	2.1	*	*	*	3.3
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.0	1.7	1.1	0.6	*	*	*	1.3
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.0	0.5	0.8	**	*	*	*	0.7
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.0	1.0	1.2	**	*	*	*	1.2
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Table 12: Maine RRI by Race and Ethnicity

State : Maine **County : Statewide** Reporting Period 1/1/2009 through 12/31/2009

Relative Rate Index Compared with :	White							
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.0	2.1	**	0.4	*	*	*	0.9
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.0	1.0	**	1.2	*	*	*	1.5
4. Cases Diverted	1.0	2.2	**	2.4	*	*	*	1.9
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.0	0.6	**	1.1	*	*	*	0.7
6. Cases Petitioned	1.0	1.4	**	1.1	*	*	*	1.2
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.0	0.9	**	**	*	*	*	0.9
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1.0	0.9	**	**	*	*	*	0.9
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.0	1.6	**	**	*	*	*	1.5
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Table 13: Rhode Island RRI by Race and Ethnicity

State : Rhode Island **County : Statewide** Reporting Period 1/1/2010 through 12/31/2010

Relative Rate Index Compared with :	White							
	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.0	9.6	2.8	1.7	*	*	*	4.0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.6	*	*	*	1.1
4. Cases Diverted	1.0	0.3	0.0	**	*	*	*	0.2
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.0	1.5	**	1.8	*	*	*	1.5
6. Cases Petitioned	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	*	*	*	1.0
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	*	*	*	1.0
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.0	2.4	**	2.7	*	*	*	2.4
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Table 14: Vermont RRI by Race and Ethnicity

State : Vermont		County : Statewide				Reporting Period 7/1/2011		through 6/30/2012	
Relative Rate Index Compared with :		White							
		White	Black or African- American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests		1.0	2.4	**	1.2	*	*	*	1.4
3. Refer to Juvenile Court		1.0	1.4	**	**	*	*	*	2.2
4. Cases Diverted		1.0	0.5	**	**	*	*	*	0.6
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention		1.0	1.0	**	**	*	*	*	0.6
6. Cases Petitioned		1.0	1.0	**	**	*	*	*	0.9
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings		1.0	0.9	**	**	*	*	*	1.0
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement		1.0	**	**	**	*	*	*	0.4
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Correctional Facilities	Juvenile	1.0	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court		1.0	**	**	**	*	*	*	0.2
Group meets 1% threshold?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Recommendations

In addition to measuring DMC at the New Hampshire state and county level, the New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Children Youth & Families (DCYF), Bureau of Organizational Learning and Quality Improvement (BOLQI) also calculates DMC measurements along the nine points of contact for the cities of Manchester, Nashua and Rochester annually from the year 2008 forward. This has been done in part because the State Advisory Board's Disproportionate Minority Contact Subcommittee has established regional DMC committees in those New Hampshire cities. We recommend that BOLQI extend the detailed DMC calculation to the municipalities of Concord and Salem, based on the 2011 analysis appearing in this report, and also that consideration be given to establishing regional DMC committees in those municipalities.

DCYF has been functioning under an assumption that New Hampshire does not have a referral process, thus the agency has not been seeking or reporting data on this particular point of contact. However, since other information has come to BOLQI Data Team's attention at this time, BOLQI is seeking further clarification on this issue and will report their progress.

The New Hampshire Juvenile Court Diversion Network members are the primary source for juvenile cases informally diverted from juvenile court. Annual data from the network members has not been provided in a consistent or timely manner to BOLQI across the network membership. We recommend that the network be approached and asked to provide simple summary annual statistics: number of annual cases by race/ethnicity, for example, for juveniles in their programs.

The New Hampshire Administrative Office of the Courts is the most likely source of data for the last point of contact: cases transferred to adult court. We discovered that this data has not been forthcoming for several years, in part because of a design anomaly in the juvenile petition form. Specifically the juvenile petition form questions on race and ethnicity did not conform to accepted practice. The court has committed to redesigning the juvenile petition to better capture race and ethnicity case data according to the current Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

standard. The redesigned petition is scheduled to be in use by June 2013. We recommend that communications with the courts, and support for redesigning the juvenile petition and obtaining the resultant data, continue.

Attachment 1:

2010 Juvenile Arrests and RRI for Selected Municipalities

2010 State of New Hampshire

Number of Arrestees

Arrestee Age

Under 18

Hispanic Origin

Not of Hispanic Origin

Unknown(21)

Juvenile Population

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
--	--------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White	26	105	913	2232	11	73
African American	3	19	48	149	1	
Asian/Pacific Islander		1	14	14		
Native American/Alaskan Native				2		
Unknown(21)	1	3	3	1	2	9
Missing(22)						

5,694	125,273
	2,108
	2,869
	375

Arrestee Age

Under 18

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	51	100	1218	1664	38	87
African American	7	17	52	74	1	6
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1	16	13		1
Native American/Alaskan Native					1	1
Unknown(21)		2	7	3	5	3
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	136,319	6,999	2,402	4,597	17.6	33.7			
White	125,273	6,236	2,180	4,056	17.4	32.4			
African American	2,108	331	102	229	48.4	108.6	2.78	3.36	3.15
Hispanic or Latino	5,694	337	89	248	15.6	43.6	0.90	1.35	1.19
Asian/Pacific Islander	2,869	58	30	28	10.5	9.8	0.60	0.30	0.41
Native American/Alaskan Native	375	4	1	3	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA

136319 6966 2402 4564

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 NH less Cities/Towns

Arrestee Age

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

Hispanic Origin

Not of Hispanic Origin

Unknown(21)

Juvenile Population

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
--	--------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White	1	6	273	678	3	45
African American	1	1	5	21	0	0
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	2	1	0	0
Native American/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	1	0	0
Unknown(21)	0	0	1	0	0	2
Missing(22)	0	0	0	0	0	0

1,519	65,767
	424
	765
	169

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	9	12	467	694	19	53
African American	1	1	11	9	1	2
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	4	6	0	1
Native American/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown(21)	0	0	1	2	5	3
Missing(22)	0	0	0	0	0	0

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	68,644	2,343	797	1546	11.6	22.5			
White	65,767	2,232	762	1470	11.6	22.4			
African American	424	49	17	32	40.1	75.5	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	1,519	33	12	21	7.9	13.8	0.68	0.62	0.64
Asian/Pacific Islander	765	14	6	8	7.8	10.5	0.68	0.47	0.54
Native American/Alaskan Native	169	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	68644	2329	797	1532					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 City/Town Summary

Arrestee Age

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

Hispanic Origin

Not of Hispanic Origin

Unknown(21)

Juvenile Population

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
--	--------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White	25	99	640	1554	8	28
African American	2	18	43	128	1	0
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	1	12	13	0	0
Native American/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	1	0	0
Unknown(21)	1	3	2	1	2	7
Missing(22)	0	0	0	0	0	0

4,175	59,506
	1,684
	2,104
	206

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	42	88	751	970	19	34
African American	6	16	41	65	0	4
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0	12	7	0	0
Native American/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	1	1
Unknown(21)	0	2	6	1	0	0
Missing(22)	0	0	0	0	0	0

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	67,675	4,656	1,605	3051	23.7	45.1			
White	59,506	4,004	1,418	2586	23.8	43.5			
African American	1,684	282	85	197	50.5	117.0	2.12	2.69	2.49
Hispanic or Latino	4,175	304	77	227	18.4	54.4	0.77	1.25	1.08
Asian/Pacific Islander	2,104	44	24	20	11.4	9.5	0.48	0.22	0.31
Native American/Alaskan Native	206	3	1	2	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	67675	4637	1605	3032					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Laconia

Arrestee Age
Belknap County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White		1	21	47		
African American			1			
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)				1		
Missing(22)						

39	1,394
	16
	50
	7

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White		1	25	42	1	1
African American			3			
Asian/Pacific Islander			2			
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,506	146	53	93	35.2	61.8			
White	1,394	137	47	90	33.7	64.6			
African American	16	4	4	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	39	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	50	2	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	7	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1506	145	53	92					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Belmont

Arrestee Age
Belknap County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			3	16	3	1
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

23	743
	4
	7
	0

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			21	16	2	3
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	777	65	29	36	37.3	46.3			
White	743	65	29	36	39.0	48.5			
African American	4	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	23	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Native American/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	777	65	29	36					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Conway
 Arrestee Age
 Carroll County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities
	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)

Juvenile Population

Not Hispanic or Latino
 Hispanic or Latino

Group A Arrests Juvenile
 Arrestee Race(19)

White		1	15	28		2
African American				4		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)	1					
Missing(22)						

15	901
	7
	12
	8

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			14	17		3
African American			1			
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	943	86	31	55	32.9	58.3			
White	901	79	29	50	32.2	55.5			
African American	7	5	1	4	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	15	2	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	12	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	8	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	943	86	31	55	NA = group is less than 1% of population				

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Wolfeboro

Arrestee Age
Carroll County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities
	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
20	588

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

White			11	39		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)		2				
Missing(22)						

20	588
	2
	7
	1

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			18	23	1	1
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander				1		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests		Arrest Rate per 1,000		RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
			HWD	ROA	HWD	ROA			
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	618	96	30	66	48.5	106.8			
White	588	93	30	63	51.0	107.1			
African American	2	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	20	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	618	96	30	66	NA = group is less than 1% of population				

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Keene

Arrestee Age
Cheshire County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White	3		40	26		
African American			1	2		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

51	1,699
	11
	38
	3

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			8	24		2
African American				1		
Asian/Pacific Islander			1			
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,802	108	53	55	29.4	30.5			
White	1,699	100	48	52	28.3	30.6			
African American	11	4	1	3	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	51	3	3	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	38	1	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1802	108	53	55	NA = group is less than 1% of population				

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Swanzey

Arrestee Age
Cheshire County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities
	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
16	646

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

White						
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

16	646
	1
	6
	1

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White						
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	670	0	0	0	0.0	0.0			
White	646	0	0	0	0.0	0.0			
African American	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	16	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Native American/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	670	0	0	0					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Berlin

Arrestee Age
Coos County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White		1	1	27		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

24	849
	7
	6
	6

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			2	40		1
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)		1				
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests		Arrest Rate per 1,000		RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
			HWD	ROA	HWD	ROA			
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	892	73	3	70	3.4	78.5			
White	849	71	3	68	3.5	80.1			
African American	7	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	24	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Native American/Alaskan Native	6	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	892	73	3	70					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Gorham

Arrestee Age
Coos County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile
Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White		1	1	5		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

6	266
	0
	3
	0

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			2	13		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests		Arrest Rate per 1,000		RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
			HWD	ROA	HWD	ROA			
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	275	22	3	19	10.9	69.1			
White	266	21	3	18	11.3	67.7			
African American	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	6	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	275	22	3	19					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Lebanon

Arrestee Age
Grafton County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White		3	7	56		
African American		1		2		
Asian/Pacific Islander				1		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)					1	2
Missing(22)						

36	935
	19
	42
	3

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	1	2	16	24	1	
African American			1			
Asian/Pacific Islander			1	4		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,035	123	27	96	26.1	92.8			
White	935	104	24	80	25.7	85.6			
African American	19	3	1	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	36	7	1	6	27.8	166.7	1.08	1.95	1.75
Asian/Pacific Islander	42	6	1	5	23.8	119.0	0.93	1.39	1.28
Native American/Alaskan Native	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1035	120	27	93					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Hanover

Arrestee Age
Grafton County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile
Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			1	8		
African American				2		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

25	756
	14
	76
	1

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White		1	2	17		
African American			1	2		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)			1			
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	872	35	4	31	4.6	35.6			
White	756	28	3	25	4.0	33.1			
African American	14	5	1	4	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	25	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	76	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	872	34	4	30					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Manchester
 Arrestee Age
 Hillsborough County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile
 Arrestee Race(19)

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White	5	47	36	341		12
African American	1	10	4	66	1	
Asian/Pacific Islander				1		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)		1				2
Missing(22)						

1,364	7,225
	695
	363
	38

Arrestee Age Under 18
Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	8	32	20	128	1	12
African American	1	7	12	36		4
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native					1	1
Unknown(21)		1		1		
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	9,685	792	90	702	9.3	72.5			
White	7,225	550	57	493	7.9	68.2			
African American	695	123	17	106	24.5	152.5	3.10	2.24	2.32
Hispanic or Latino	1,364	113	15	98	11.0	71.8	1.39	1.05	1.09
Asian/Pacific Islander	363	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	38	2	1	1	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	9685	789	90	699					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

- 19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.
- 20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.
- 28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.
- 29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Nashua

Arrestee Age
Hillsborough County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White	16	30	96	153		
African American		3	14	12		
Asian/Pacific Islander			8	3		
Native American/Alaskan Native				1		
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

1,257	6,166
	302
	455
	26

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	19	36	59	119	1	
African American	3	5	4	11		
Asian/Pacific Islander				1		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	8,206	594	220	374	26.8	45.6			
White	6,166	428	156	272	25.3	44.1			
African American	302	41	18	23	59.6	76.2	2.36	1.73	1.96
Hispanic or Latino	1,257	112	38	74	30.2	58.9	1.19	1.33	1.28
Asian/Pacific Islander	455	12	8	4	17.6	8.8	0.69	0.20	0.38
Native American/Alaskan Native	26	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	8206	594	220	374	NA = group is less than 1% of population				

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Concord

Arrestee Age
Merrimack County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White		1	80	191		
African American		1	14	23		
Asian/Pacific Islander				2		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						1
Missing(22)						

106	3,552
	142
	176
	19

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White		3	63	94	1	1
African American			4	5		
Asian/Pacific Islander			3			
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	3,995	487	165	322	41.3	80.6			
White	3,552	430	144	286	40.5	80.5			
African American	142	46	18	28	126.8	197.2	3.13	2.45	2.68
Hispanic or Latino	106	5	0	5	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	176	5	3	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	3995	486	165	321					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Hooksett

Arrestee Age
Merrimack County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities
	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)

Juvenile Population

	Not Hispanic or Latino	Hispanic or Latino
	57	1,316

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

White			24			
African American			2			
Asian/Pacific Islander			1			
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

	57	1,316
		17
		31
		1

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			8			
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander			1			
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,422	36	36	0	25.3	0.0			
White	1,316	32	32	0	24.3	0.0			
African American	17	2	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	57	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	31	2	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1422	36	36	0					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Derry

Arrestee Age
Rockingham County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			14	130	2	3
African American				1		
Asian/Pacific Islander				1		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

174	3,799
	43
	52
	16

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	4	5	100	41	5	1
African American			3			
Asian/Pacific Islander	1		1			
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	4,084	312	130	182	31.8	44.6			
White	3,799	296	121	175	31.9	46.1			
African American	43	4	3	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	174	10	5	5	28.7	28.7	0.90	0.62	0.74
Asian/Pacific Islander	52	2	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	16	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	4084	312	130	182					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Salem

Arrestee Age
Rockingham County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities
	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)

Juvenile Population

Not Hispanic or Latino
Hispanic or Latino

Group A Arrests Juvenile
Arrestee Race(19)

White						
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White						
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
White	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0			
African American	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Native American/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
	0	0	0	0	NA = group is less than 1% of population				

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Dover

Arrestee Age
Strafford County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

White			19	54		1
African American			1			
Asian/Pacific Islander				2		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						1
Missing(22)						

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino
Not Hispanic or Latino

81	2,204
	60
	130
	5

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	1		28	20	1	3
African American			3			
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	2,480	134	53	81	21.4	32.7			
White	2,204	126	48	78	21.8	35.4			
African American	60	4	4	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	81	1	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	130	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	5	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	2480	133	53	80					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Rochester
 Arrestee Age
 Strafford County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			44	78	1	2
African American	1	1	2	3		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						1
Missing(22)						

92	2,648
	33
	52
	15

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	1	1	67	64	1	
African American	1	1	2	1		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	2,840	272	120	152	42.3	53.5			
White	2,648	257	113	144	42.7	54.4			
African American	33	8	4	4	121.2	121.2	2.84	2.23	2.50
Hispanic or Latino	92	6	3	3	32.6	32.6	0.76	0.60	0.67
Asian/Pacific Islander	52	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	15	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	2840	271	120	151					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Claremont

Arrestee Age
Sullivan County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino
Not Hispanic or Latino

Group A Arrests Juvenile
Arrestee Race(19)

White		2	1	13		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

29	1,209
	16
	12
	4

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White				16		
African American				1		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,270	33	1	32	0.8	25.2			
White	1,209	30	1	29	0.8	24.0			
African American	16	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	29	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	12	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Native American/Alaskan Native	4	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1270	33	1	32					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Newport
Arrestee Age
Sullivan County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities
	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
11	662

Group A Arrests Juvenile
Arrestee Race(19)

White				37		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

11	662
	2
	4
	2

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			1	28		
African American				1		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	681	67	1	66	1.5	96.9			
White	662	66	1	65	1.5	98.2			
African American	2	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	11	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Native American/Alaskan Native	2	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	681	67	1	66					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Plymouth

Arrestee Age
Grafton County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race(19)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			2	15		
African American				2		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

12	370
	14
	8
	1

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			8	10		
African American	1	1				
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	405	39	11	28	27.2	69.1			
White	370	35	10	25	27.0	67.6			
African American	14	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	12	2	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	8	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	405	39	11	28					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Newmarket
 Arrestee Age
 Rockingham County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

White			1	10		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

27	578
	7
	42
	1

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White				13		
African American				1		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	655	25	1	24	1.5	36.6			
White	578	24	1	23	1.7	39.8			
African American	7	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	27	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	42	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	655	25	1	24					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Somersworth

Arrestee Age
Strafford County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			42	23		
African American			1	1		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

32	978
	24
	51
	6

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	2	70	15	1
African American		5		
Asian/Pacific Islander				
Native American/Alaskan Native				
Unknown(21)				
Missing(22)				

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,091	160	121	39	110.9	35.7			
White	978	151	113	38	115.5	38.9			
African American	24	7	6	1	250.0	41.7	2.16	1.07	1.89
Hispanic or Latino	32	2	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	51	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	6	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1091	160	121	39	NA = group is less than 1% of population				

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Portsmouth
 Arrestee Age
 Rockingham County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile
 Arrestee Race(19)

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			21	34		3
African American			2	1		
Asian/Pacific Islander		1	1	2		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

67	1,231
	39
	54
	1

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	2	2	32	28	1	1
African American			1	1		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,392	133	60	73	43.1	52.4			
White	1,231	120	54	66	43.9	53.6			
African American	39	5	3	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	67	5	2	3	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	54	3	1	2	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1392	133	60	73	NA = group is less than 1% of population				

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Exeter

Arrestee Age
Rockingham County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile Arrestee Race(19)

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White		2	5	24		
African American		1		1		
Asian/Pacific Islander			1			
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)					1	
Missing(22)						

	49	1,458
		14
		42
		4

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			13	20		
African American		1		1		
Asian/Pacific Islander				1		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,567	71	19	52	12.1	33.2			
White	1,458	62	18	44	12.3	30.2			
African American	14	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	49	4	0	4	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	42	2	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	4	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1567	70	19	51					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Milford

Arrestee Age
Hillsborough County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile
Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			2	19		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

64	1,638
	28
	30
	6

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			3	10		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests		Arrest Rate per 1,000		RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
			HWD	ROA	HWD	ROA			
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,766	34	5	29	2.8	16.4			
White	1,638	34	5	29	3.1	17.7			
African American	28	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	64	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	30	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	6	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1766	34	5	29					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Hudson

Arrestee Age
Hillsborough County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White		8	1	80		2
African American		1		4		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

136	2,683
	68
	51
	9

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White		4	17	76		4
African American		1	1	3		
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	2,947	202	19	183	6.4	62.1			
White	2,683	180	18	162	6.7	60.4			
African American	68	8	1	7	14.7	102.9	2.19	1.70	1.75
Hispanic or Latino	136	14	0	14	0.0	102.9	0.00	1.70	1.53
Asian/Pacific Islander	51	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	9	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	2947	202	19	183					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Merrimack
 Arrestee Age
 Hillsborough County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile
 Arrestee Race(19)

	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			116			
African American			1			
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)			2			
Missing(22)						

105	2,893
	23
	56
	9

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			98			
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)			5			
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	3,086	222	215	7	69.7	2.3			
White	2,893	214	214	0	74.0	0.0			
African American	23	1	1	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	105	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	56	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	9	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	3086	215	215	0					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Bedford

Arrestee Age
Hillsborough County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile
Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White		2	17	32	2	
African American				2		
Asian/Pacific Islander			1			
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

70	2,940
	29
	89
	0

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White		1	14	18		1
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	3,128	90	34	56	10.9	17.9			
White	2,940	84	33	51	11.2	17.3			
African American	29	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	70	3	0	3	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	89	1	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	3128	90	34	56					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Durham

Arrestee Age
Strafford County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities
	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)

Juvenile Population

Not Hispanic or Latino
Hispanic or Latino

Group A Arrests Juvenile
Arrestee Race(19)

White				1		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

9	629
	4
	33
	1

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White				7		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	676	8	0	8	0.0	11.8			
White	629	8	0	8	0.0	12.7			
African American	4	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	9	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	33	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	676	8	0	8					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Pelham

Arrestee Age
Hillsborough County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile

Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White	1		8	11		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

32	1,570
	7
	26
	3

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White	4		24	21	1	
African American				1		
Asian/Pacific Islander			3			
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests		Arrest Rate per 1,000		RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
			HWD	ROA	HWD	ROA			
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,638	74	41	33	25.0	20.1			
White	1,570	65	33	32	21.0	20.4			
African American	7	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	32	5	5	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	26	3	3	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1638	74	41	33	NA = group is less than 1% of population				

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Windham
 Arrestee Age
 Rockingham County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
--	--------------------	------------------------

Group A Arrests Juvenile
 Arrestee Race(19)

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			2	12		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

39	1,671
	9
	37
	6

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

Arrestee Race	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)	Handled within Department (28)	Referred to Other Authorities (29)
White			9	6		
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	1,762	29	11	18	6.2	10.2			
White	1,671	29	11	18	6.6	10.8			
African American	9	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	39	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	37	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	6	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	1762	29	11	18					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.

2010 Londonderry
 Arrestee Age
 Rockingham County

Number of Arrestees

Under 18

	<u>Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Not of Hispanic Origin</u>		<u>Unknown(21)</u>	
	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities	Handled within Department	Referred to Other Authorities
	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)	(28)	(29)

Juvenile Population

	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
	107	3,309

Group A Arrests Juvenile
 Arrestee Race(19)

White			9	44		2
African American				2		
Asian/Pacific Islander				1		
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

	107	3,309
		27
		63
		3

Arrestee Age

Group B Arrests, race and ethnicity Juveniles

White			9	20	1	
African American						
Asian/Pacific Islander						
Native American/Alaskan Native						
Unknown(21)						
Missing(22)						

5 cases or less AR=0

	Population	Arrests	Arrests HWD	Arrests ROA	Arrest Rate per 1,000 HWD	Arrest Rate per 1,000 ROA	RRI HWD	RRI ROA	Total RRI
Total Youth (Under Age 18)	3,509	88	19	69	5.4	19.7			
White	3,309	85	19	66	5.7	19.9			
African American	27	2	0	2	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	107	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	63	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Native American/Alaskan Native	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	NA	NA	NA
	3509	88	19	69					

NA = group is less than 1% of population

19 Race codes are based on observations by the investigating officer, not on self report.

20 Ethnicity codes are based on observation by the investigating officer, not on self report.

28 Juvenile released to parent/guardian, released with warning, etc.

29 Juvenile referred to family court, Dept of Children and Families, State's Attorney, other police agency, etc.